


**Participants and Planning**

Participant experience	As at 30 Sep	As at 30 Jun
Active participants with approved plans (excluding children accessing early connections)	54,165	52,451
Children accessing early connections <sup>1</sup>	1,300	1,172
Children waiting for early connections	38	29
Percentage of participants fully or partially self managing their plan	29%	30%
Percentage of plans activated within 90 days <sup>2</sup>	86%	86%
Number of participant plan reassessments completed in the quarter <sup>3</sup>	5,940	6,422



**Performance summary:**

- 56,795 participants (excluding children accessing early connections) have had an approved plan since July 2013. 54,165 of these continue to be active.
- 35,388 active participants have not previously received disability support via State and Commonwealth government programs in the past.
- In the current quarter, 1,848 participants have entered the Scheme and there are 1,300 children accessing early connections at the end of September 2023.
- 5,940 plans have had reassessments this quarter.
- 2,562 access decisions have been made in the quarter, of which 1,850 met access and are still active.
- 188 (10.2%) of the new active participants this quarter identified as First Nations participants, taking the total number of First Nations participants in Western Australia to 4,361 (8.1%).
- 132 (7.2%) of the new active participants this quarter are Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) <sup>4</sup>, taking the total number of CALD participants in Western Australia to 4,320 (8.0%).

**Participant outcomes and satisfaction**

Participant outcomes and satisfaction	Latest Reassessment	Baseline
<b>Participant and Scheme Outcome metrics from the Corporate Plan as at 30 September 2023 <sup>5</sup></b>		
• Participant employment rate - Aged 15 - 64 years	25%	24%
• Participant social and community engagement rate - Aged 15+ years	40%	37%
• Parent and carer employment rate - All ages	51%	46%
• Participant perception of choice and control - Aged 15+ years	<b>Latest Reassessment</b> 77%	<b>First Reassessment</b> 72%
<b>% of participants rating their overall experience as very good or good by pathway stage – current vs previous quarter</b>	<b>2023-24 Q1</b>	<b>2022-23 Q4</b>
• Access	74%	74%
• Pre-planning	70%	80%
• Planning	84%	79%
• Plan reassessment	65%	65%

<sup>1</sup> In the September 2023 quarter, the early childhood approach applies to children younger than nine, following implementation of the ECEI reset age range change project. This change will occur over the next two years and ensures children and their families are supported by an early childhood partner during and after their transition to primary school. This is a change from the June 2023 quarter, where the early childhood approach applied to children younger than seven.

<sup>2</sup> Trial participants (participants with initial plans approved prior to 1 July 2016) and those with initial plans approved after the end of 2022-23 Q3 have been excluded.

<sup>3</sup> Plans less than 31 days in duration have been excluded from this tabulation, as these reassessments are more likely to represent corrections to the plan rather than a new plan reassessment to address a change in circumstance.

<sup>4</sup> The number of CALD participants excludes First Nations participants.

<sup>5</sup> The Outcome results include participants who have been in the Scheme for at least two years. Trial participants (participants with initial plans approved prior to 1 July 2016) are excluded.

## Participant Service Guarantee (PSG)

Percentage meeting the Service Guarantee in the quarter <sup>1 2</sup>		Service Guarantee	30 Sep	30 Jun
<b>General</b>	1. Explain a previous decision, after a request for explanation is received	28 days	93%	96%
<b>Access</b>	2. Make an access decision, or request for more information, after an access request has been received	21 days	100%	100%
	3. Allow sufficient time for prospective participants to provide information, after NDIA has requested further information	90 days	100%	n/a
<b>Planning</b>	4. Make an access decision, after more information has been provided	14 days	87%	98%
	5. Commence facilitating the preparation of a plan, after an access decision has been made	21 days	96%	96%
	6. Approve a participant's plan, after an access decision has been made (excludes those ECA <sup>3</sup> that have received initial supports)	56 days	92%	94%
<b>Implementation</b>	7. Approve a plan for ECA <sup>3</sup> participants, after an access decision has been made	90 days	95%	97%
	9. If the participant accepts the offer, hold a plan implementation meeting	28 days	100%	100%
<b>Plan Reassessments</b>	11. Commence facilitating a scheduled plan reassessment, prior to the scheduled reassessment date	56 days	76%	74%
	12. Decide whether to undertake a Participant Requested Plan Reassessment, after the request is received	21 days	74%	81%
	13. Complete a reassessment, after the decision to accept the request was made	28 days	54%	59%
<b>Plan Amendments</b>	14. Amend a plan, after the receipt of information that triggers the plan amendment process	28 days	93%	91%
	15. Amend a plan, after the receipt of information relating to a complex quote that triggers a plan amendment process	50 days	100%	100%
<b>Reviewable Decisions</b>	17. Complete an Internal Review of a Reviewable Decision, after a request is received	60 days	93%	98%
	18. Implement an AAT decision to amend a plan, after the AAT decision is made	28 days	99%	98%
<b>Nominee</b>	19. Cancel participant requested nominee	14 days	97%	100%
	20. Cancel CEO initiated nominee	14 days	67%	100%

• The PSG metrics are based on the recommendations of the 2019 Tune Review. The NDIA commenced measuring performance against the PSG metrics prior to the legislation of the Participant Service Charter and Guarantee. On 30 March 2022, the NDIS Amendment (Participant Service Guarantee and Other Measures) Bill 2021 passed in both houses of Parliament, and received Royal Assent on 1 April 2022. It introduces changes that provide greater flexibility for participants and the NDIA to amend plans.

<sup>1</sup> PSG results in the previous quarter may be restated due to ongoing logic refinement and changes in data quality.

<sup>2</sup> "n/a" means that results cannot be measured.


<sup>3</sup> ECA stands for Early Childhood Approach.

Provider and market metrics


Market supply and participant costs	As at 30 Sep	As at 30 Jun
Total number of active providers (with at least one claim ever) <sup>1</sup>	3,248	3,125
Total number of active providers in the last quarter <sup>1</sup>	1,307	1,272
Utilisation (6 month rolling average with 3 month lag) (%)	73%	72%
Plan utilisation by service district (% of service districts that are more than 10 percentage points below the benchmark) <sup>2</sup>	33%	17%
Market concentration (% of service districts where more than 70% of payments for supports go to the top 10 providers)	0%	0%
Payments paid within 5 days (%) <sup>3</sup>	99.4%	99.7%
Total payments from 1 July 2023 (\$m)	\$848m	\$2,919m
Total annualised plan budgets at the end of quarter (\$m) <sup>4</sup>	\$4,437m	\$4,153m
Total plan inflation (current quarter % per annum) <sup>5 6</sup>	16.1%	12.8%
Inflation at plan reassessment (current quarter % per annum)	8.2%	7.8%
Inflation within a plan, between reassessments (current quarter % per annum)	7.9%	5.0%
Socioeconomic equity (%) <sup>7</sup>	110%	110%

- Total annualised plan budgets at 30 September 2023 were \$4,437m and payments from 1 July 2023 were \$848m.
- The number of active providers at the end of September is 3,248, growing by 4% in the quarter.
- Utilisation has been 73% from 1 January 2023 to 30 June 2023, with 33% (4 out of 12) of service districts in Western Australia more than 10 percentage points below the adjusted national benchmark.
- There were no service districts where the top 10 providers provide more than 70% of payments.

Distribution of service districts by plan utilisation <sup>2</sup>



Distribution of service districts by market concentration



Service districts more than 10% below plan utilisation benchmark:

- Goldfields-Esperance: 61% vs 75% benchmark
- Wheat Belt: 60% vs 73% benchmark
- Midwest-Gascoyne: 62% vs 75% benchmark
- Kimberley-Pilbara: 62% vs 74% benchmark

Service districts having between 45% and 70% of payments going to the 10 largest providers:

- Great Southern: 64% vs 70% benchmark
- Goldfields-Esperance: 62% vs 70% benchmark
- Kimberley-Pilbara: 55% vs 70% benchmark
- South West: 52% vs 70% benchmark
- Midwest-Gascoyne: 48% vs 70% benchmark

<sup>1</sup> Active providers refer to those who have received payment for supports provided to Agency-managed participants and plan managers.

<sup>2</sup> The 'benchmark' in this analysis is the national average after adjusting for the proportion of participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL) in each service district and the length of time participants have been in the Scheme.

<sup>3</sup> The payment enquiries come from the Provider Portal, Participant Portal and NDIS App.

<sup>4</sup> Total annualised plan budgets refer to those in the current plans of active participants at the end of quarter.


<sup>5</sup> Total plan inflation consists of plan budget changes occurring at plan reassessment as well as changes occurring within a plan between reassessments.

<sup>6</sup> The Annual Pricing Review saw price limit increases on 1 July where unspent portions of plan budgets were increased in line with indexation rates in July to maintain the purchasing power of remaining plans. There has been a one-off 2.4% increase in intraplan and total inflation during the month of July 2023.


<sup>7</sup> Socioeconomic status uses deciles from the ABS Index of Education and Occupation (IEO). A higher decile indicates that people residing in that area have a higher level of skills and qualifications on average. The socioeconomic equity metric is equal to the average annualised plan budget of participants residing in the top two IEO deciles divided by the average annualised plan budget of participants residing in the bottom two IEO deciles (participants not in SIL and aged 0 to 64).

Summaries by Service Districts


Active participants by service district <sup>1</sup>




Average annualised plan budgets and average payments (\$) <sup>2 3</sup>



Average annualised plan budgets and average payments - Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL) (\$) <sup>2 3</sup>



Average annualised plan budgets and average payments - Participants not in SIL (\$) <sup>2 3</sup>



- South Metro has the highest number (8,701) of active participants, while Goldfields-Esperance (904).
- The average annualised plan budget at the end of September for active participants is \$81,900 (\$61,900 for participants not in SIL and \$424,700 for participants in SIL).
- The average payments for the 12 months ending 30 September 2023 are \$60,900 (\$43,100 for participants not in SIL and \$377,000 for participants in SIL).
- Central North Metro has the highest average annualised plan budgets and payments across all participants.

<sup>1</sup> There are 27 active participants at 30 September 2023 residing in 'Other' service districts. 'Other' includes participants with service district information missing. The average annualised plan budgets and average payments for this group are not shown.

<sup>2</sup> Figures are not shown if there is insufficient data in the service district.

<sup>3</sup> Average annualised plan budgets are derived from total annualised plan budgets in the current plans of active participants at 30 September 2023. Average payments are calculated as the average of the annualised monthly payments in the same 12 month period, weighted by the participants that are active in each month.