# COAG


# **Disability Reform Council**Quarterly Performance Report





## Overview

This report is the sixth quarterly report during the NDIS Transition period, which commenced on 1 July 2016.





## **Summary**

## Participants and Planning

445 additional participants with plans this quarter, with 48 of those previously confirmed as ECEI at 2017-18 Q1.

240 additional children have a confirmed referral to the ECEI gateway this guarter.

As at 31 December 2017, plans approved and ECEI referrals represent:

- 104% of year to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2017 31 December 2017)
- 101% of transition to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2016 - 31 December 2017)
- 102% of scheme to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2013 - 31 December 2017)

## **Committed Supports and Payments**

\$220.2 million has been paid to providers and participants since Scheme inception.

#### Overall.

- 55% of committed supports were utilised in 2013-14,
- 71% in 2014-15,
- 74% in 2015-16,
- 78% in 2016-17. 2017-18 experience is still emerging.

#### **Providers and Markets**

921 approved providers, a 12% increase for the quarter.

70-85% of payments made by the NDIA are received by 25% of providers.

24% of service providers are individual/sole traders.

#### **Mainstream Interface**

94% of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2 access mainstream services.

The proportion of participants entering in the current quarter accessing mainstream services is higher compared to prior quarters.



## **Participants and Planning**

As the transition phase to full scheme continues, the NDIS in Tasmania continues to grow with 445 additional participants with approved plans this quarter.





## **Summary**

The NDIS is transitioning to full-scheme in line with phasing schedules bilaterally agreed by State/Territory and Commonwealth governments.



### Key Statistics

519

**ACCESS DECISIONS** IN 2017-18 Q2

(INCLUDING BOTH **ACCESS MET AND** ACCESS NOT MET) 445

**INITIAL PLANS APPROVED** IN 2017-18 Q2

OF THE 445 INITIAL PLANS **APPROVED THIS** QUARTER, 48 WERE PREVIOUSLY CONFIRMED AS ECEI AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2017

240

ADDITIONAL CHILDREN WITH A CONFIRMED **ECEI GATEWAY** REFERRAL IN 2017-18

104%

OF YEAR TO DATE **BILATERAL ESTIMATE** MET (1 JULY 2017 - 31 DECEMBER 2017)

101%

OF TRANSITION TO DATE **BILATERAL ESTIMATE** MET (1 JULY 2016 - 31 DECEMBER 2017)

102%

OF SCHEME TO DATE **BILATERAL ESTIMATE** MET (1 JULY 2013 - 31 DECEMBER 2017)

56%

OF PARTICIPANTS WITH AN INITIAL PLAN APPROVED IN 2017-18 **Q2 ARE CHILDREN** AGED 7-14 YEARS

43%

OF PARTICIPANTS WITH AN INITIAL PLAN APPROVED IN 2017-18 **Q2 HAVE A REPORTED** PRIMARY DISABILITY OF AUTISM




## **Quarterly Intake**

#### 2017-18 Q2

Of the 404 participants deemed 'eligible' this quarter 58% entered from an existing State/Territory program.

Of the 445 plan approvals this quarter, 54% had transitioned from an existing State/Territory program, 81% entered with a permanent disability and 48 were previously confirmed as ECEI at 2017-18 Q1.





## **Quarterly Intake Detail**

### Plan approvals as at 31 December 2017


Plan approval numbers have increased from 2,534 at the end of 2017-18 Q1 to 2,979 by the end of 2017-18 Q2, an increase of 445 approvals.

As at 31 December 2017 there were 540 children with a confirmed ECEI referral bringing the total number to 3,519. Overall, 38 participants with approved plans have exited the Scheme.

Of the 540 children with a confirmed ECEI referral as at 31 December 2017, 300 were previously confirmed as ECEI at 30 September 2017 and an additional 240 entered the gateway this quarter.

In the quarter of 2017-18 Q2 there were 887 plan reviews. This figure relates to all participants who have entered the scheme.

#### Change in plan approvals between 30 September 2017 and 31 December 2017





## **Cumulative Position**

### Plan approvals as at 31 December 2017


As at the end of 2017-18 Q2, the cumulative total number of participants receiving support was 3,519 (including 540 children supported through the ECEI gateway). Of these, 1,651 transitioned from an existing State/Territory program and 164 transitioned from an existing Commonwealth program.

In addition, 327 participants were awaiting a plan as at 31 December 2017.

Overall, since 1 July 2013, there have been 3,719 people with access decisions.

Cumulative position reporting is inclusive of trial participants for the reported period and represents participants who have or have had an approved plan.

### Cumulative plan approvals compared with bilateral estimate



### 104%

of year to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2017 - 31 December 2017)

#### 101%

of transition to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2016 - 31 December 2017)


#### 102%

of scheme to date bilateral estimate met (1 July 2013 - 31 December 2017)

### 2,979

plan approvals to date; 3,519 including ECEI confirmed

## Plan approvals by participant referral pathway






# Participant Profiles by Age Group


Demographic profile of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2, compared with plan approvals as at 30 September 2017, by age group.

56% of participants entering in this quarter are aged 7 to 14 years. This is significantly higher compared to prior quarters (22%) and can be attributed to the phasing schedule.

## Active participants with a plan approved in the quarter of 2017-18 Q2 by age group



## % of active participants with a plan approved by age group



■ % of active participants with a plan approved in prior quarters

■% of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2

Note: The age eligibility requirements for the NDIS are based on the age as at the access request date. Participants with their initial plan approved aged 65+ have turned 65 since their access request was received.




# Participant Profiles by Disability Group

Demographic profile of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2, compared with plan approvals as at 30 September 2017, by disability group.


43% of participants entering in the quarter of 2017-18 Q2 have a primary disability group of Autism. This is higher compared to prior quarters and reflects the high number of children who entered during the quarter.

### Active participants with a plan approved in the quarter of 2017-18 Q2 by disability group



Note: Of the 167 active participants identified as having an intellectual disability, 18 (11%), have down syndrome.

## % of active participants with a plan approved by disability group



- ■% of active participants with a plan approved in prior quarters
- ■% of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2



# Participant Profiles by Level of Function


Demographic profile of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2, compared with plan approvals as at 30 September 2017, by level of function.

For participants with a plan approval in the current period:

- 30% of active participants had a relatively high level of function
- 39% of active participants had a relatively moderate level of function
- 31% had a relatively low level of function

These relativities are within the NDIS participant population, and not comparable to the general population.

#### % of active participants with a plan approved by level of function



# Participant Profiles by Gender

Demographic profile of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2, compared with plan approvals as at 30 September 2017, by gender.

The majority of participants are males.






## **Participant Profiles**

Demographic profile of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2, compared with plan approvals as at 30 September 2017.

Of the participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2:

- 6.5% were Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander, compared with 8.4% for prior periods.
- 0 were Young people in residential aged care.
- 1.3% were Culturally and linguistically diverse, compared with 2.2% for prior periods.






# Plan Management Support Co-ordination


The proportion of participants who are fully or partly self-managing their plan was higher in 2017-18 Q2 (19%) compared with prior quarters of transition (17%).

37% of participants who have had a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2 have support coordination in their plan, compared with 40% in prior quarters during transition.


### **Support Co-ordination**



#### Prior quarters (transition only)



#### 2017-18 Q2





## **Plan Activation**

Plan activation refers to the amount of time between plan approval and the commencement of the participant receiving support.


The percentage of plans activated within 90 days of approval were:


- 52% of plans approved in prior quarters
- 66% of plans approved in 2017-18 Q1.

Plan activation can only be approximated using data on payments. As there is a lag between when support is provided and payments made, these statistics are likely to be conservative. That is, it is likely that plan activation is faster than presented. Further, inkind supports have been excluded from the calculation, which further contributes to the conservative figures.

#### **Duration to Plan activation for initial plans**

#### **Prior Quarters (Transition Only)**





Note: Given that plans approved in 2017-18 Q2 are relatively new, it is too early to examine the duration to plan activation for these plans and hence these have been excluded from the charts.




## Participant Outcomes

Baseline outcome measures were collected from 100% of participants receiving their initial plan since 1 July 2016.

- 59% of participants aged 0 to before school are able to make friends outside of family/carers, compared to 69% of participants from school age to 14
- 39% of participants aged 0 to before school are engaged in age appropriate community, cultural or religious activities, compared to 21% 36% for other age groups
- 73% of participants from school age to 14 attend school in a mainstream class, compared to 65% of participants aged 15 to 24
- 21% of participants aged 25 and over have a paid job, compared to 10% of participants aged 15 to 24
- 42% of participants aged 25 and over choose what they do every day, compared to 55% of participants aged 15 to 24

#### Selected key baseline indicators for participants






## Family/Carers Outcomes

The percentage of participants' family/carers:

- working in a paid job was highest for participants aged 0 to 14 (43%)
- able to advocate for their child/family member was highest for participants aged 25 and over (82%)
- who have friends and family they can see as often as they like was highest for participants aged 25 and over (55%)
- who feel in control selecting services was highest for participants aged 25 and over (61%)
- who support/plan for their family member through life stage transitions was highest for participants aged 0 to 14 (89%)

#### Selected key baseline indicators for families and carers of participants



■0 to 14 ■15 to 24 ■25 and over



# Has the NDIS helped? Participants


Perceptions of whether the NDIS has helped.

Participants who entered the Scheme in the first half of 2016/17 and had been in the Scheme long enough at plan review to give a meaningful answer were asked questions about whether the NDIS had helped them.

The percentage responding 'Yes' was the highest for the domain of:

- Daily Living (46%), for participants of school age to 14
- Choice and control (53%), for participants aged 15 to 24
- Daily Living (62%), for participants aged 25 and over

#### "Has the NDIS helped?" questions for participants



Note: There was insufficient data for participants aged 0 to before school




# Has the NDIS helped? Family/Carers

Perceptions of whether the NDIS has helped.

Families and carers of participants who entered the Scheme in the first half of 2016/17 and had been in the Scheme long enough at plan review to give a meaningful answer were asked questions about whether the NDIS had helped them.

The NDIS has helped families and carers of participants most with accessing services, programs and activities, and with feeling supported.

#### "Has the NDIS helped?" questions for families and carers of participants



■ 0 to 14 ■ 15 and over




## Participant Satisfaction

Due to low number of participant survey responses in 2017-18 Q2, participant satisfaction is not shown for this quarter.

The Participant Pathway Review aims to improve the participant experience.

Participant satisfaction continues to be high, but has dropped during transition, compared with trial site experience.

## Proportion of participants describing satisfaction with the Agency's planning process as good or very good - by quarter



Note: Participant satisfaction results are not shown if there is insufficient data in the group.

PART 2

## **Committed Supports and Payments**

Both committed and paid supports to participants are increasing in line with the growing scheme.

To date funding committed to participants with an approved plan amounts to \$423.8 million (including support periods in the future), of which \$220.2 million has been paid.





## **Summary**

This section presents information on the amount committed in plans and payments to service providers and participants.



\$423.8

MILLION OF SUPPORTS HAS BEEN COMMITTED TO 2,979 PARTICIPANTS \$233.7

MILLION OF SUPPORTS IN RESPECT OF PRIOR FINANCIAL YEARS INCLUDING TRIAL \$152.4

MILLION OF SUPPORTS IN RESPECT OF 2017-18^ \$37.6

MILLION OF SUPPORTS IN RESPECT OF LATER YEARS\*

\$220.2

MILLION HAS BEEN PAID TO PROVIDERS & PARTICIPANTS

OVERALL, 55% OF COMMITTED SUPPORTS WERE UTILISED IN 2013-14, 71% IN 2014-15, 74% IN 2015-16 AND 78% IN 2016-17.

THE 2017-18 EXPERIENCE IS STILL EMERGING.

<sup>^</sup>Note: The \$152.4 million in respect of 2017-18 only includes approved plans to date, and not all of these plans cover the full 2017-18 year.

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The \$37.6 million committed in future years is due to current plans in place that have an end date past 30 June 2018.



# **Committed Supports and Payments**

Committed amount by year that the support is expected to be provided, compared with committed supports that have been used (paid).

Of the \$423.8 million that has been committed in participant plans, \$220.2 million has been paid to date.

In particular, for supports provided in:

2013-14: \$10.0m has been paid

2014-15: \$36.6m has been paid


2015-16: \$48.6m has been paid

2016-17: \$77.1m has been paid

2017-18 to date: \$48.0m has been paid

#### Committed and paid by expected support year

\$Million	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19 and beyond	Total
Total committed	18.0	51.6	65.3	98.8	152.4	37.6	423.8
Total paid	10.0	36.6	48.6	77.1	48.0	0.0	220.2



■ Total paid ■ Total committed




# **Committed Supports by Cost Band**

A lower proportion of initial plan approvals in 2017-18 Q2 have average annualised committed supports greater than \$20,000 compared with participants who entered in prior quarters when participants with shared supported accommodation (SSA) supports are included.

This is also the case when SSA participants are excluded.

#### Distribution of average annualised committed supports by cost band (including SSA)



#### Distribution of average annualised committed supports by cost band (excluding SSA)



■ As at Q1 2017-18 ■ Q2 2017-18




# **Committed Supports by Age Band**

Average annualised committed supports increase steeply up to age 35 and stabilises to age 65.

The average annualised committed supports for participants with an initial plan approved in 2017-18 Q2 is generally lower compared to participants who entered in prior quarters.

#### Average annualised committed supports by age band



Note: Average annualised committed supports are not shown if there are insufficient data in the group.




# **Committed Supports by Disability Group**

Participants with Acquired Brain Injury, Cerebral Palsy and Other Neurological have the highest average annualised committed supports.

Due to a high concentration of participants with an Intellectual Disability and Autism in Tasmania, committed supports by primary disability group should be interpreted with caution.

#### Average annualised committed supports by primary disability group



Note: Average annualised committed supports are not shown if there are insufficient data in the group.




# **Committed Supports by Level of Function**

The average annualised committed supports generally increase for participants with lower levels of function.

Overall, the average annualised committed supports for participants with an initial plan approval in 2017-18 Q2 is lower compared with participants who entered in prior quarters for participants across low, medium and high levels of function.

#### Average annualised committed supports by level of function



Note 1: Average annualised committed supports are not shown if there are insufficient data in the group.

Note 2: High, medium and low function is relative within the NDIS population and not comparable to the general population.




# **Utilisation of Committed Supports**

Utilisation of committed supports by year that the support was expected to be provided as at 30 September 2017, compared with 31 December 2017.

As there is a lag between when support is provided and when it is paid, the utilisation in 2017-18 will increase.

The utilisation of committed supports in 2017-18 YTD is still emerging and the utilisation rate is expected to increase as there is a lag between when support is provided and when it is paid.



## **Providers and Markets**

The scale and extent of the market continues to grow, with a 12% increase in the number of providers during the quarter to 921.





## **Summary**

This section contains information on registered service providers and the market, with key provider and market indicators presented.

#### **Provider registration**

- To provide supports to NDIS participants, a service provider is required to register and be approved by the NDIA.
- Providers register with the NDIA by submitting a registration request, indicating the types of support (registration groups) they are accredited to provide.

#### How providers interact with participants

- NDIS participants have the flexibility to choose the providers who support them.
- Providers are paid for disability supports and services provided to the participants.



921
APPROVED PROVIDERS

70-85%
OF PAYMENTS
MADE BY THE
NDIA ARE
RECEIVED BY
25% OF
PROVIDERS

24%
OF SERVICE
PROVIDERS ARE
INDIVIDUAL/SOLE
TRADERS

ASSISTANCE
PRODUCTS FOR
PERSONAL CARE AND
SAFETY HAS THE
HIGHEST NUMBER OF
APPROVED SERVICE
PROVIDERS,
FOLLOWED BY
THERAPEUTIC
SUPPORTS AND
PERSONAL MOBILITY
EQUIPMENT





## Providers over time

As at 31 December 2017, there were 921 registered service providers of which 220 were individual/sole trader operated business while the remaining 701 providers were registered as a company or organisation.

1.44

AVERAGE NUMBER
OF PROVIDERS PER
PARTICIPANT








## Proportion of Active Providers

Change in the activity status of providers.

As at 31 December 2017, 59% of providers have been active and 41% were yet to have evidence of activity. Of the overall stock of providers, 83 providers began delivering new supports in the quarter.



83

NUMBER OF
PROVIDERS
DELIVERING NEW
SUPPORTS




## **Registration groups**

The number of approved providers has increased for most registration groups over the quarter.

Assistance products for personal care and safety has the highest number of approved service providers and has seen a 21% increase since the previous quarter.

The largest percentage increase in approved providers was for the Specialised Disability Accommodation registration group in the quarter. This was followed by Assistance products for personal care and safety, Support Coordination and Management of funding for supports in participants plan.



■ As at 31 December 2017 ■ As at 30 September 2017



# Market share of top providers

25% of service providers received 70-85% of the dollars paid for major registration groups.

Market share of the top 25% of providers by registration group.





## Information, Linkages and Capacity Building

Information, Linkages and Capacity Building was covered in the national version of the COAG Quarterly Performance Report



PART 5

## **Mainstream Interface**


The proportion of participants entering in the current quarter accessing mainstream services is higher compared to prior quarters.






## Mainstream Interface

94% of active participants with a plan approved in 2017-18 Q2 access mainstream services, an increase from prior quarters. Participants are accessing mainstream services predominantly for health and wellbeing, lifelong learning and daily activities.





PART 6

## **Financial Sustainability**

Financial Sustainability was covered in the national version of the COAG Quarterly Performance Report.

